

► UNIQUENESS of ADJUNCTIONS (UP TO ISOMORPHISM)

PROP Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be categories, and  $F, H: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, G: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  functors.

Suppose that  $F \dashv G$  and  $H \dashv G$

$\Rightarrow$  there exists a NATURAL ISOMORPHISM  $F \cong H$

PROOF The key points are: - NATURALITY of the BIJECTION of the YONEDA LEMMA  
- UNIQUENESS of REPRESENTATIVES of REPRESENTABLE FUNCTORS.

Indeed, consider  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and the natural isomorphisms of functors:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Fc, -) \xrightarrow[\phi_{c,F}]{} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(c, G-) \xrightarrow[\gamma_{c,H}]{} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Hc, -)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{This means that } \gamma_{c,H} \circ \phi_{c,F} =: \alpha_c: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Fc, -) \xrightarrow{\downarrow} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(Hc, -)$$

is an ISOMORPHISM of FUNCTORS.

So we have

YONEDA

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{fun}}(\text{Hom}(Fc, -), \text{Hom}(Hc, -)) \cong \text{Hom}(Hc, Fc)$$

$$\downarrow \alpha_c$$

$$\alpha_c \longrightarrow (\alpha_c)_{Fc}(\text{id}_{Fc}) =: \gamma_c$$

so  $\gamma_c: Hc \rightarrow Fc$

Since  $\alpha := \{\alpha_c\}_{c \in \mathcal{C}}$  is a NATURAL TRANSF, NATURALITY of YONEDA LEMMA gives that  $\gamma := \{\gamma_c\}_c$  is a NATURAL TRANSFORMATION  $\gamma: H \Rightarrow F$

*in the object c ∈ C* →

To see that it is an iso, we produce the YONEDA inverse:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{fun}}(\text{Hom}(Hc, -), \text{Hom}(Fc, -)) \cong \text{Hom}(Fc, Hc)$$

$$\downarrow \alpha_c^{-1}$$

$$\alpha_c^{-1} =: \beta_c \longrightarrow (\beta_c)_{Hc}(\text{id}_{Hc}) =: \xi_c$$

$\xi_c: Fc \rightarrow Hc$

and  $\xi := \{\xi_c\}_{c \in \mathcal{C}}$  is a NATURAL TRANSFORMATION  $\xi: F \Rightarrow H$



To see that  $\xi_c = \gamma^{-1}$ , we use NATURALITY of Yoneda Lemma *in the functor!*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_c}(\text{Hom}(H_c, -), \text{Hom}(F_c, -)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Hom}(F_c, H_c) \xrightarrow{\xi_c} \xi_c \\
 \downarrow (\alpha_c)_* & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow (\alpha_c)_{H_c} \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}_c}(\text{Hom}(H_c, -), \text{Hom}(H_c, -)) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Hom}(H_c, H_c) \\
 (\alpha_c)_* (\alpha_c^{-1}) = \text{id} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{id}_{H_c}
 \end{array}$$

OK :)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\alpha_c)_{H_c}(\xi_c) &= \\
 &= (\alpha_c)_{H_c}(\beta_c)_{H_c}(\text{id}_{H_c}) \\
 &= (\alpha_c \circ \beta_c)_{H_c}(\text{id}_{H_c}) \\
 &= (\text{id})_{H_c}(\text{id}_{H_c}) \\
 &= \text{id}_{H_c}
 \end{aligned}$$

Same for the other direction -

NB This is a STANDARD FACT which FOLLOWS from the YONEDA LEMMA!

In other words, Yoneda Lemma implies that if  $X, Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  REPRESENT THE SAME FUNCTION

$$\Rightarrow \exists \text{ bij } X \cong Y$$

And this that we have shown is an "UPSIDE" where there is a functional dependence on both sides



# CARTESIAN CLOSED CATEGORIES

- You already see what (a) product is -

DEF  $\mathcal{C}$  a category. An object  $1 \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$  is (a) TERMINAL OBJ if  $\forall X \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C}) \quad \# \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, 1) = 1$

NOTE The TERMINAL OBJECT is UNIQUE up to a UNIQUE ISOMORPHISM.

DEF A CARTESIAN CLOSED CATEGORY is a category  $\mathcal{C}$  with the following properties:

① There exists the TERMINAL OBJECT

② There exists the PRODUCT of any two objects  $A, B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$   
i.e.  $\exists A \times B$

③ There exists an exponential functor  $\forall A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$

i.e.  $\forall A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , the FUNCTION

$$A \times \_ : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

has a right adjoint  $(-)^A : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$

(SOMETIMES denoted also as hom(A, -))

Example  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Set}$ ,  $1 = \{*\}$ ,  $A \times B$  Cartesian product  
 $(B)^A = \text{hom}(A, B) = \text{Hom}(A, B)$

•  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Cat}$  is cartesian closed (expound!!)

• For any category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the category  $\text{Psh}(\mathcal{C})$

$\text{Psh}(\mathcal{C}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Set})$   
IS CARTESIAN CLOSED.



RDH CONDITIONS (1) and (2) imply that a  
CARTERIAN CLOSED CATEGORY  
has ALL FINITE PRODUCTS

Remark to  
talk about also after having  
talked about limits & colimits.

You also do computer science

why CARTERIAN CLOSED CATEGORIES  
serve as a model of  
SIMPLY TYPED  $\lambda$ -CALCULUS

For example,  
you could  
do a  
Seminar  
about this

(see also CURRY-HOWARD CORRESPONDENCE)